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Purpose & Structure

This document contains the SASB Sustainability Accounting Standard (SASB Standard) for the Aerospace & Defense industry.

SASB Sustainability Accounting Standards comprise (1) disclosure guidance and (2) accounting standards or metrics for use by U.S. and foreign public companies in their disclosures to investors, such as in annual reports and filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), including Forms 10-K, 20-F, 40-F, 10-Q, 8-K and S-1 and S-3. The Standards facilitate the meaningful disclosure of sustainability information that is useful to investors in making decisions on investments and corporate suffrage. The Standards reflect the fact that certain sustainability information is important for assessing the future financial performance of an issuer, particularly over the long term.

SASB Standards identify sustainability topics that are reasonably likely to constitute material information for a company within a particular industry. Company management is responsible for determining whether those identified topics reflect information that is material to investors and should be disclosed in filings, based on that company’s specific circumstances. For further details regarding the use of the SASB Standards, in particular guidance on determinations of materiality, please see SASB’s Implementation Guide.

SASB Standards provide companies with sustainability metrics designed to communicate performance on industry-level sustainability topics in a concise, comparable format using existing reporting mechanisms. Companies can use the Standards to help ensure that disclosure is reliable, decision-useful for investors, and cost-effective for issuers.

SASB Standards are intended to constitute “suitable criteria” for purposes of an attestation engagement as defined by Paragraph .A42 of AT-C section 105 and referenced in AT-C section 395. “Suitable criteria” have the following attributes:

- **Relevance**—Criteria are relevant to the subject matter.
- **Objectivity**—Criteria are free from bias.
- **Measurability**—Criteria permit reasonably consistent measurements, qualitative or quantitative, of subject matter.
- **Completeness**—Criteria are complete when subject matter prepared in accordance with them does not omit relevant factors that could reasonably be expected to affect decisions of the intended users made on the basis of that subject matter.

Industry Description

Companies in the Aerospace & Defense industry can be divided into three main categories: (1) include commercial aircraft and parts manufacturing, (2) and aircraft parts, aerospace and defense parts manufacturing, and (3) defense prime contractors. Commercial aircraft manufacturers represent approximately one quarter of industry revenues.

---

1 The AICPA defines sustainability information in its Guide, *Attestation Engagements on Sustainability Information (Including Greenhouse Gas Emissions Information)* (Issued July 2017), as follows: “information about sustainability matters (such as economic, environmental, social and governance performance).” It further explains that “sustainability metrics and sustainability indicators are components of sustainability information. Sustainability information may be nonquantitative (narrative), historical, or forward-looking.”

2 [https://library.sasb.org/implementation-guide](https://library.sasb.org/implementation-guide)


4 [http://pcaobus.org/Standards/Attestation/Pages/AT701.aspx](http://pcaobus.org/Standards/Attestation/Pages/AT701.aspx)
and sell mainly to commercial airlines, as well as U.S. and foreign governments. Aerospace and defense parts manufacturers represent the largest segment of the industry segment by total revenue and have a similar customer base, but sell their balance shifts the other way, selling mainly to governments. Aerospace and defense operations. Both aerospace and defense companies operate globally and serve both international and domestic customers. Defense primes represent approximately one quarter of total industry revenues and manufacture products including military aircraft, space vehicles, missile systems, ammunition, small arms, naval ships, and other commercial and military vehicles. Their customers consist of various agencies of the U.S. government and related businesses with global operations, around the world. The defense primes category also includes firearms manufacturers, which sell to law enforcement agencies, businesses, distributors, retailers, and consumers.

Users of the SASB Standards

The SASB Standards are intended for use by public companies and by investors to inform investment decisions. The standards facilitate disclosure of financially material sustainability-related information in a concise, comparable, cost-effective, decision-useful format.

The SASB Standards are designed for integration into existing reporting mechanisms, such as SEC filings. This keeps the administrative and cost burden to a minimum. SEC filings include Form 10-K for U.S. companies, Form 20-F for foreign issuers, Form 40-F for Canadian issuers, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and registration statements on Forms S-1 and S-3. The SASB Standards are also recognized by the European Commission as a suitable framework for companies to provide information to investors pursuant to EU Directive 2014/95/EU. See “Guidelines on non-financial reporting (methodology for reporting non-financial information).”5 Thus, SASB standards are a cost-effective way to satisfy both U.S. and European reporting requirements.

SASB evaluates the materiality of sustainability-related topics by using the high threshold of financial materiality that is established under the U.S. securities laws.6 Although designed to meet the rigorous disclosure requirements of the U.S. capital markets (thereby producing a high-quality set of evidence-based standards focused on material investor-focused topics), the standards represent a best practice that can be used by companies of all types (public and private) to describe their material sustainability-related risks and opportunities.

Guidance for Disclosure of Sustainability Topics in SEC Filings

1. Industry-Level Sustainability Topics

For the Aerospace & Defense industry, the SASB has identified the following sustainability disclosure topics:

6 https://library.sasb.org/materiality_bulletin/
2. Determination of Materiality

In the U.S., sustainability disclosures are governed by the same laws and regulations that generally govern disclosures by securities issuers. According to the U.S. Supreme Court, a fact is material if, in the event such fact is omitted from a particular disclosure, there is a substantial likelihood that the disclosure of the omitted fact would have been viewed by the reasonable investor as having significantly altered the ‘total mix’ of the information made available.\(^7\)

Through a rigorous process of research, review of evidence, and public input, the SASB has identified sustainability topics that are reasonably likely to have a material effect on the financial condition or operating performance of companies within each Sustainable Industry Classification System™ (SICS™) industry.\(^8\) **However, the issuer must determine what information is (or is reasonably likely to be) material to the reasonable investor.** For further information regarding a process that corporations can use to assess the financial materiality of the sustainability-related topics in SASB standards, please see SASB’s Implementation Guide.\(^9\)

3. SEC Requirements Relating to Disclosure of Material Sustainability Information

If a public company determines that certain sustainability information is reasonably likely to be material, it must then determine whether disclosure of some or all of the information under applicable SASB Standards is required under the U.S. federal securities laws. Several provisions of those laws are relevant to sustainability disclosures.

Regulation S-K sets forth certain disclosure requirements associated with Form 10-K and other SEC filings. Item 303 of Regulation S-K requires companies to, among other things, describe in the Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) section of Form 10-K “any known trends or uncertainties that have had or that the registrant reasonably expects will have a material favorable or unfavorable impact on net sales or revenues or income from continuing operations. If the registrant knows of events that will cause a material change in the relationship between costs and revenues (such as known future increases in costs of labor or materials or price increases or inventory adjustments), the change in the relationship shall be disclosed.”\(^10\)

Furthermore, the instructions to Item 303 state that the MD&A “shall focus specifically on material events and uncertainties known to management that would cause reported financial information not to be necessarily indicative of future operating results or of future financial condition.”\(^11\)

---

\(^8\) [https://library.sasb.org/materiality_bulletin/](https://library.sasb.org/materiality_bulletin/)
\(^9\) [https://library.sasb.org/implementation-guide](https://library.sasb.org/implementation-guide)
\(^11\) SEC [Release Nos. 33-8056; 34-45321; FR-61] Commission Statement about Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations: “We also want to remind registrants that disclosure must be both useful and understandable. That is, management should provide the most relevant information and provide it using language and formats that investors can be expected to understand. Registrants should be aware also that investors will often find information relating to a particular matter more meaningful if it is disclosed in a single location, rather than presented in a fragmented manner throughout the filing.”
Material issues are already required for reporting under SEC guidance. Is SASB suggesting here that SASB becomes the standard for reporting material issues? If so, you should address the fact that SASB isn't currently required and material issues can now be reported without association to the SASB standard.
The SEC has provided guidance for companies to use in determining whether a trend or uncertainty should be disclosed. The two-part assessment prescribed by the SEC can be applied to the topics included within this Standard:

- First, a company is not required to make disclosure about a known trend or uncertainty if its management determines that such trend or uncertainty is not reasonably likely to occur.

- Second, if a company’s management cannot make a reasonable determination of the likelihood of an event or uncertainty, then disclosure is required “unless management determines that a material effect on the registrant’s financial condition or results of operation is not reasonably likely to occur.”

Companies should also consider the applicability of other Regulation S-K requirements. Specifically, Item 101 (“Description of Business”) requires a company to provide a description of its business and its subsidiaries. Item 103 (“Legal Proceedings”) requires a company to describe briefly any material pending or contemplated legal proceedings; instructions to Item 103 provide specific disclosure requirements for administrative or judicial proceedings arising from laws and regulations that target discharge of materials into the environment, or that are primarily for the purpose of protecting the environment. Item 503(c) (“Risk Factors”) requires a company to provide discussion of the most significant factors that make an investment in the registrant speculative or risky, clearly stating the risk and specifying how it affects the company.

Finally, as a general matter, Securities Act Rule 408 and Exchange Act Rule 12b-20 require a registrant to disclose, in addition to the information expressly required by law or regulation, “such further material information, if any, as may be necessary to make the required statements, in light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading.”

4. Where Disclosures Should Be Made in SEC Filings

In using the definition of materiality established under the U.S. federal securities laws, the SASB has identified and developed industry-specific sustainability topics and metrics that are reasonably likely to have a material effect on the financial condition or operating performance of companies within a particular industry. As a general matter, the SASB believes that investors are best served when disclosure of such information is made in SEC filings. An issuer might, for example, make the disclosure in a sub-section of MD&A with a caption, “Sustainability-Related Information,” with a section that includes the material topics, performance metrics, and management’s view with respect to corporate positioning. See SASB’s “Mock 10-Ks” for examples of preparing an MD&A using the SASB Standards.12 Issuers are not precluded from using the Standards elsewhere, such as in stand-alone communications to investors or in sustainability reports (sometimes referred to as corporate social responsibility reports or environmental, social, and governance reports), company websites, or elsewhere. Corporate communication on material topics, including sustainability-related material topics, should be consistent across communication channels. As discussed above, SEC regulations may compel inclusion of material sustainability information in an SEC filing where it is deemed financially material.

The SASB recognizes that sustainability topics are relatively new areas of investor interest, and it may be difficult to determine whether particular sustainability information is material in certain situations. Accordingly, issuers might also consider using the SASB Standards in filings using Form 8-K, Item 8.01 (“Other Events”). This provision states that “The registrant may, at its option, disclose under this Item 8.01 any events, with respect to which information is not

12 http://using.sasb.org/mock-10-k-library/
otherwise called for by this form, that the registrant deems of importance to security holders.” Making a disclosure under Item 8.01 would not require the issuer to make a decision regarding materiality, and might also provide the company with more time to make the disclosure than is permitted under filing rules applicable to Form 10-K, thereby facilitating the completeness and accuracy of the disclosed information.

When using the Standards, issuers should cite or refer to the relevant SASB Standard.


Guidance on Accounting for Sustainability Topics

The SASB has identified accounting metrics for each sustainability topic included in this Standard. The SASB recommends that companies within this industry consider using these sustainability accounting metrics when preparing disclosures on the sustainability topics identified herein.

When disclosing information related to a sustainability topic identified by this Standard, companies should consider including a narrative description of any material factors necessary to ensure completeness, accuracy, and comparability of the data reported, as appropriate. Such a description might in certain circumstances include a discussion of the following:

- The registrant’s governance around the risks and opportunities related to the topic, including board oversight of and management’s role in assessing and managing such risks and opportunities.

- The registrant’s strategic approach regarding actual and potential impacts of topic-related risks and opportunities on the organization’s businesses, strategy, and financial planning, over the short, medium, and long term.

- The registrant’s process to identify, assess, and manage topic-related risks, and how these risks are integrated into the registrant’s overall risk management process.

- The registrant’s use of metrics or targets to assess and manage topic-related risks and opportunities.

- Data for the registrant’s last three completed fiscal years (when available).

The SASB recommends that registrants use SASB Standards specific to their primary industry as identified in SICSTM. If a registrant generates significant revenue from multiple industries, the SASB recommends that it also consider sustainability topics that the SASB has identified for those industries, and disclose the associated SASB accounting metrics.

---

These areas for possible additional narrative description are generally aligned with the Recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures, which contains a more extensive discussion of such disclosure matters.
You should specify the use of SASB metrics, or an explanation as to why SASB metrics don’t apply and the company’s metrics are more applicable.
Further, the SASB recommends that companies design, implement, and maintain adequate systems of internal control over sustainability performance information to provide reasonable confidence regarding the achievement of related reporting objectives, such as those relating to the reliability of disclosed information.  

The SASB takes no position as to whether third-party attestation is necessary to enhance the credibility of the disclosed sustainability information, but as a matter of good governance, the SASB suggests that such assurance be considered.

Scope of Disclosure

Unless otherwise specified, the SASB recommends:

- That a registrant disclose information on sustainability topics and metrics for itself and for entities that are consolidated for financial reporting purposes, as defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“US GAAP”), for consistency with other accompanying information within SEC filings;

- That for consolidated entities, disclosures be made, and accounting metrics calculated, for the whole entity, regardless of the size of the minority interest; and

- That information from unconsolidated entities not be included in the computation of SASB accounting metrics. However, the registrant should disclose information about unconsolidated entities to the extent that the registrant considers the information necessary for investors to understand the effect of sustainability topics on the company’s financial condition or operating performance. (Typically, this disclosure would be limited to risks and opportunities associated with these entities.)

Reporting Format

Use of Financial Data

In instances where accounting metrics, activity metrics, and technical protocols in this Standard incorporate financial data (e.g., revenues, cost of sales, expenses recorded and disclosed for fines, etc.), such financial data shall be prepared in accordance with US GAAP, and be consistent with the corresponding financial data reported in the registrant’s SEC filings. Should accounting metrics, activity metrics, and technical protocols in this Standard incorporate disclosure of financial data that is not prepared in accordance with US GAAP, the registrant shall disclose such information in accordance with SEC Regulation G.

Activity Metrics and Normalization

---

14 In this regard, companies are referred to the report of a group of experts in this area. Robert H. Herz, Brad J. Monterio, Jeffrey C. Thomson, Leveraging the COSO Internal Control – Integrated Framework to Improve confidence in Sustainability Performance Data (August 2017).

15 The AICPA’s Guide (see supra note 1) provides guidance to assist accounting practitioners in performing attestation engagements on sustainability information.

16 See US GAAP consolidation rules (Section 810).

17 https://www.sec.gov/rules/final/33-8176.htm
If SASB is recommending the inclusion of these data in financial reporting, the data should be 3rd party verified. Otherwise you are implying sustainability data aren't equally important, which undermines the position they should be included.
The SASB recognizes that normalizing accounting metrics is important for the analysis of SASB disclosures.

The SASB recommends that a registrant disclose any basic business data that may assist in the accurate evaluation and comparability of disclosure, to the extent that they are not already disclosed in Form 10-K (e.g., revenue, EBITDA, etc.).

Such data—termed “activity metrics”—may include high-level business data, including total number of employees, quantity of products produced or services provided, number of facilities, or number of customers. It may also include industry-specific data such as plant capacity utilization (e.g., for specialty chemical companies), number of transactions (e.g., for Internet media and services companies), hospital bed days (e.g., for health care delivery companies), or proven and probable reserves (e.g., for oil and gas exploration and production companies).

Activity metrics disclosed should:

- Convey contextual information that would not otherwise be apparent from SASB accounting metrics.
- Be deemed generally useful for investors relying on SASB accounting metrics to perform their own calculations and create their own ratios.
- Be explained and consistently disclosed from period to period to the extent that they continue to be relevant. However, a decision to make a voluntary disclosure in one period does not obligate a continuation of that disclosure if it is no longer relevant, or if a better metric becomes available.\(^\text{18}\)

Where relevant, the SASB recommends specific activity metrics that—at a minimum—should accompany SASB accounting metric disclosures.

### Table 1. Activity Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Metric</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Unit of Measure</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production by reportable segment(^\text{19})</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>RT0201-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of employees</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>RT0201-B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Units of Measure

Unless specified, disclosures should be reported in International System of Units (SI units).

### Uncertainty

The SASB recognizes that there may be inherent uncertainty when measuring or disclosing certain sustainability data and information. This uncertainty may be related to variables such as the reliance on data from third-party reporting

---


\(^\text{19}\) Note to RT0201-A—Production should be disclosed as the number of units produced by product category, where relevant product categories include (1) ground vehicles, (2) aircraft, (3) marine vehicles, (4) vehicle and aircraft components, and (5) space and weapons systems.
systems and technologies, or the unpredictable nature of climate events. Where uncertainty around a particular disclosure exists, the SASB recommends that the registrant should consider discussing its nature and likelihood.\textsuperscript{20}

**Estimates**

The SASB recognizes that scientifically-based estimates, such as the reliance on certain conversion factors or the exclusion of \textit{de minimis} values, may occur for certain quantitative disclosures. Where appropriate, the SASB does not discourage the use of estimates or ranges. When using an estimate for a particular disclosure, the SASB expects that the registrant discuss its nature and substantiate its basis.

**Timing**

Unless otherwise specified, disclosure shall be for the registrant's fiscal year.

**Limitations**

There is no guarantee that SASB Standards address all sustainability impacts or opportunities associated with a sector, industry, or company; therefore, a company must determine for itself the topics that warrant discussion in its SEC filings.

Use of the SASB Standards is voluntary. The Standards are not intended to replace any legal or regulatory requirements that may be applicable to a company's operations. When such laws or regulations address legal or regulatory topics, disclosure under SASB Standards is not meant to supersede those requirements.

Use of the SASB Standards is not required or endorsed by the SEC or various entities governing financial reporting, including the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Government Accounting Standards Board, or the International Accounting Standards Board.

**Forward-Looking Statements**

Disclosures on sustainability topics can, in some circumstances, involve discussion of future trends and uncertainties related to the registrant's operations and financial condition, including those influenced by external variables (e.g., environmental, social, regulatory, and political). Companies making these disclosures in SEC filings should familiarize themselves with the safe harbor provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act, which preclude civil liability for material misstatements or omissions in such statements if the registrant takes certain steps. These include, among other things, identifying the disclosure as “forward-looking,” and accompanying such disclosure with “meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements.”

**Notes on the Sustainability Accounting Standards**

The following sections contain the disclosure guidance associated with each accounting metric, including guidance on definitions, scope, accounting, compilation, and presentation.

\textsuperscript{20} The AICPA’s Guide (see supra note 1) provides guidance related to measurement uncertainty.
The term “shall” is used throughout this document to indicate those elements that reflect requirements of the Standard. The terms “should” and “may” are used to indicate guidance, which, although not required, provides a recommended means of disclosure.
Table 2. Sustainability Disclosure Topics & Accounting Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOPIC</th>
<th>ACCOUNTING METRIC</th>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>UNIT OF MEASURE</th>
<th>CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Total energy consumed, percentage grid electricity, percentage renewable</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Gigajoules (GJ), Percentage (%)</td>
<td>RT0201-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Waste Management</td>
<td>Amount of hazardous waste, percentage recycled</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Metric tons (t), Percentage (%)</td>
<td>RT0201-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number and aggregate quantity of reportable spills, quantity recovered21</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Number, Kilograms (kg)</td>
<td>RT0201-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Security</td>
<td>Number of data security breaches and percentage involving confidential information22</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Number, Percentage (%)</td>
<td>RT0201-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of operations, by revenue, independently certified to a suitable third-party cybersecurity management standard</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>TA07-12-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussion of approach to managing data security risks within (a) company operations and (b) products</td>
<td>Discussion and Analysis</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>RT0201-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of recalls and total units recalled23</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>RT0201-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Safety</td>
<td>Number of Airworthiness Directives received and total units affected24</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>RT0201-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount of legal and regulatory fines and settlements associated with product safety25</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>U.S. Dollars ($)</td>
<td>RT0201-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Economy &amp; Emissions in Use-phase</td>
<td>Revenue from alternative energy-related products</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>U.S. Dollars ($)</td>
<td>RT0201-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussion of strategies and approach to address fuel economy and greenhouse gas emissions of products</td>
<td>Discussion and Analysis</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>RT0201-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Ethics</td>
<td>Amount of legal and regulatory fines and settlements associated with incidents of corruption, bribery, and/or illicit international trade26</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>U.S. Dollars ($)</td>
<td>RT0201-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Revenue from countries ranked in the “E” or “F” Band of Transparency International’s Government Defence Anti-Corruption Index</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>U.S. Dollars ($)</td>
<td>RT0201-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description of processes to manage business ethics risks throughout the value chain</td>
<td>Discussion and Analysis</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>RT0201-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21 Note to RT0201-03—The registrant shall discuss its long-term activities to remediate spills that occurred in years prior to the reporting period but for which remediation activities are ongoing.

22 Note to RT0201-04—Disclosure shall include a description of the corrective actions taken in response to specific incidents.

23 Note to RT0201-06—Disclosure shall include a discussion of notable recalls, such as those that affected a significant number of units or those related to a serious injury or fatality.

24 Note to RT0201-07—The registrant shall discuss notable Airworthiness Directives, such as those that resulted in an Emergency Airworthiness Directive, affected a significant number of products, or were associated with plane grounding(s) or accident(s).

25 Note to RT0201-08—Disclosure shall include a description of fines and settlements and corrective actions implemented in response to events.

26 Note to RT0201-11—Disclosure shall include a description of fines and settlements and corrective actions implemented in response to events.
This could be interpreted as applying only to events that resulted in actual injury, as opposed to those that presented a potential risk. I’d revise to “…related to a potential or actual serious injury or fatality”, since the need to recall a product prior to its contribution to an actual event still indicates potential quality program issues.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOPIC</th>
<th>ACCOUNTING METRIC</th>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>UNIT OF MEASURE</th>
<th>CODE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply Chain Management &amp; Materials Sourcing</td>
<td>Number of counterfeit parts detected, percentage avoided</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Number, Percentage (%)</td>
<td>RT0201-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of materials costs for items containing critical materials</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Percentage (%) by COGS</td>
<td>RT0201-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of tungsten, tin, tantalum, and gold smelters within the supply chain that are verified conflict-free</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Percentage (%)</td>
<td>RT0201-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discussion of the management of risks associated with the use of critical materials and conflict minerals</td>
<td>Discussion and Analysis</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>TA07-14-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I'm not sure what this provides. Critical materials are used because of their physical properties, and expense doesn't drive as much change as availability. I'd delete this and require a discussion of continued sourcing of critical materials including cost, availability and regulatory impacts.
Energy Management

Description

Energy is a critical input for value creation for aerospace and defense companies due to energy-intensive manufacturing processes. Purchased electricity represents the largest share of energy expenditures in the industry, followed by purchased fuels. As electricity production contributes significant GHG emissions and air pollution through the combustion of fossil fuels at the utility level, the cost of grid electricity may increase due to mitigation efforts directed at utilities. Similarly, as the extraction, production, and use of fossil fuels contribute to significant GHG emissions and environmental externalities, the cost of purchased fuel may also increase due to mitigation efforts. The likelihood and magnitude of impact of climate change regulations on aerospace and defense manufacturers will vary depending on the location of manufacturing facilities in the U.S. and abroad. Aerospace and defense companies’ energy mix, including the use of electricity generated on-site rather than grid-sourced electricity and the use of alternative energy, can play an important role in influencing the cost and reliability of energy supply, and ultimately companies’ profitability and risk profile.

Accounting Metrics

RT0201-01. Total energy consumed, percentage grid electricity, percentage renewable

.01 The registrant shall disclose total energy consumption from all sources as an aggregate figure in gigajoules or their multiples.

- The scope includes energy purchased from sources external to the organization or produced by the organization itself (self-generated).
- The scope includes only energy consumed by entities owned or controlled by the organization.
- The scope includes energy from all sources, including direct fuel usage, purchased electricity, and heating, cooling, and steam energy.

.02 In calculating energy consumption from fuels and biofuels, the registrant shall use higher heating values (HHV), also known as gross calorific values (GCV), which are directly measured or taken from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), or the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA).

.03 The registrant shall disclose purchased grid electricity consumption as a percentage of its total energy consumption.

.04 The registrant shall disclose renewable energy consumption as a percentage of its total energy consumption.

.05 The scope of renewable energy includes renewable fuel the registrant consumes and renewable energy the registrant directly produces, purchases through a renewable power purchase agreement (PPA) that explicitly includes renewable energy certificates (RECs), or for which Green-e Energy Certified RECs are paired with grid electricity.
Reporters should differentiate their direct use of RE (through siting and use of RE on company property, or direct connection to RE resources on adjacent property) vs. their participation in PPAs or purchase of RECs. Site use of PPAs doesn’t change their actual purchase/use of local grid-based electricity, and regulatory limits to grid emissions and associated carbon fees/taxes will still have to be borne by the site. Reporters should clearly identify their physical emissions and their use of carbon instruments, and allow investors to decide what that means for the reporter.
• For any renewable electricity generated on-site, any RECs must be retained (i.e., not sold) and retired on behalf of the registrant in order for the registrant to claim them as renewable energy.

• For renewable PPAs, the agreement must explicitly include and convey that RECs be retained and retired on behalf of the registrant in order for the registrant to claim them as renewable energy.

• The renewable portion of the electricity grid mix that is outside of the control or influence of the registrant is excluded from disclosure.

• Renewable energy is defined as energy from sources that are capable of being replenished in a short time through ecological cycles, such as geothermal, wind, solar, hydro, and biomass.

.06 For the purposes of this disclosure, the scope of renewable energy from hydro and biomass sources is limited to the following:

• Energy from hydro sources that are certified by the Low Impact Hydropower Institute or that are eligible for a state Renewable Portfolio Standard.

• Energy from biomass sources is limited to that from materials certified to a third-party standard (e.g., Forest Stewardship Council, Sustainable Forest Initiative, Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification, or American Tree Farm System), materials considered “eligible renewables” according to the Green-e Energy National Standard Version 2.5 (2014), and materials that are eligible for a state Renewable Portfolio Standard.

.07 The registrant shall apply conversion factors consistently for all data reported under this disclosure, such as the use of HHVs for fuel usage (including biofuels) and conversion of kWh to gigajoules (including for electricity from solar or wind energy).

---

27 SASB recognizes that RECs reflect the environmental attributes of renewable energy that have been introduced to the grid, and that a premium has been paid by the purchaser of the REC to enable generation of renewable energy beyond any renewable energy already in the grid mix, absent the market for RECs.
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I don't understand what this means.
Hazardous Waste Management

Description

Aerospace and defense companies face regulatory and operational challenges in managing their manufacturing waste, as many of these substances can be hazardous to human health and the environment and therefore are subject to hazardous waste regulations within the U.S. and internationally. For example, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulates generation, transport, treatment, storage, and disposal of hazardous and solid waste within the U.S. Hazardous wastes generated by aerospace and defense manufacturing include metals, spent acids, caustics, solid catalysts, and wastewater treatment sludge. Proper processing and disposal of hazardous waste materials is essential to limiting risk of remediation liabilities, fines, regulations, and possible loss of contracts. In addition, companies that are able to limit the waste of input materials and recycle the waste generated may achieve significant cost savings and improve profitability or regulatory penalties.

Accounting Metrics

RT0201-02TA07-11-01. Amount of hazardous waste, percentage recycled

The registrant shall calculate and disclose the amount of hazardous waste generated (in metric tons), according to 40 CFR 260.10 and materials that meet the definition of hazardous waste for the five largest source jurisdictions of hazardous waste under Subtitle C generation, as well as the percentage of total hazardous waste generated in each of these jurisdictions. Disclosure corresponds with Global Reporting Initiative Effluents and Waste 2016 Disclosure 306-2 a.

- Hazardous wastes are defined per the legal or regulatory frameworks applicable within the jurisdictions where the waste is generated.

- Hazardous waste shall include both hazardous secondary materials defined according to 40 CFR 261.3) or European Commission Directives on Hazardous Waste for the purposes of defining hazardous waste for operations that are located in jurisdictions other than those to which these frameworks apply.


The percentage recycled shall be calculated as the weight (in metric tons) of hazardous waste material that was reused or reclaimed, plus the weight recycled or remanufactured (through treatment or processing) by the registrant, plus the amount sent externally for further recycling, divided by the total weight of hazardous waste material, where:

\[
\text{Percentage Recycled} = \frac{\text{Weight of Reused or Reclaimed Material} + \text{Weight Recycled or Remanufactured} + \text{Weight Sent for Further Recycling}}{\text{Total Weight of Hazardous Waste Material}}
\]
Delete. As you note in the first bullets, sites identify wastes per the local regulatory hazardous waste regulations, and we agree those should be reported. Requiring consistency with the GRI would require entities such as ours, who don’t use GRI, to develop expertise in that initiative. Also, embedding an external standard within the SASB standard will require the SASB standard to change every time the GRI waste reporting rules change, regardless of SASB’s view about the utility of waste data. If SASB is trying to join forces or otherwise support GRI, perhaps this bullet should note “Disclosures corresponding with GRI etc. will be deemed compliant with the SASB standard for hazardous waste management.”
• ARecycled hazardous wastes shall be categorized per laws applicable within the jurisdictions where the waste is recycled if it is used, reused, or reclaimed.


• Reclaimed materials are defined as those processed to recover or regenerate a usable product, consistent with. Common hazardous waste reclamation activities involve recovery of spent solvents (e.g., recovery of acetone) or metals (e.g., recovery of lead).

• Reused materials are defined as those recovered products or components of products that are used for the same purpose for which they were conceived.

• Recycled and remanufactured materials are defined as waste materials that have been reprocessed or treated by means of production or manufacturing processes and made into a final product, or made into a component for incorporation into a product.

• Materials sent for further recycling include those materials that are transferred to a third party for the express purpose of reuse, recycling, or refurbishment.

• The scope of recycled and remanufactured products includes primary recycled materials, co-products (outputs of equal value to primary recycled materials), and by-products (outputs of lesser value than primary recycled materials).

• Portions of products and materials that are disposed of in landfills are not considered recycled, only the portions of products that are directly incorporated into new products, co-products, or by-products shall be included in the percentage recycled.

• Materials incinerated, including for energy recovery, are not considered reused or recycled. Energy recovery is defined as the use of combustible waste as a means to generate energy through direct incineration, with or without other waste, but with recovery of the heat.

• Electronic waste material (e-waste) shall be considered recycled only if the registrant can demonstrate that this material was transferred to entities with third-party certification to a standard for e-waste recycling, such as Basel Action Network’s e-Steward® standard or the U.S. EPA’s Responsible Recycling Practices (R2) standard.

• The registrant shall disclose the standard(s) with which the entities it has transferred e-waste are compliant.

...
See above
RT0201-03. Number and aggregate quantity of reportable spills, quantity recovered

.10 The registrant shall disclose the total number and quantity (in kilograms) of reportable spills, where:

- Reportable spills are defined as any release of a hazardous substance in an amount equal to or greater than the reportable quantity as listed in Table 302.4 of 40 CFR Part 302.4 of the U.S. Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), including consideration of reportable quantities of mixtures and solutions as defined under 40 CFR Part 302.6 (b)(1).

- The number of reportable spills shall include any leaks, emissions, discharges, injections, disposals, and abandonment releases over time, counted once at the time identified, consistent with CERCLA definition of release (42 USC 9601(22)) and guidelines for reporting requirements (40 CFR Part 302).

- The aggregate quantity reported shall represent the total quantity of material released to the environment, and shall not be reduced by the amount of such hazardous substances that are subsequently recovered, evaporated, or otherwise lost.

- The scope of disclosure includes all spills, even those in jurisdictions that are not subject to regulation under CERCLA.

.11 The registrant shall calculate the quantity of spills recovered as the quantity of spilled hazardous substances (in kilograms) removed from the environment through short-term (i.e., less than one year from time of spill) release response activities, excluding:

- Amounts that were recovered during longer-term (i.e., more than one year from time of spill) remediation at spill sites.

- Amounts that evaporated, burned, or were dispersed.

.12 The registrant may choose to disclose releases to soil and water separately. A release that qualifies as a release to both soil and water should be reported as a single release to water, with the volume properly apportioned to soil and water.

Note to RT0201-03

.13 Where applicable, the registrant shall discuss its activities to remediate spills that occurred in years prior to the disclosure period but for which remediation activities are ongoing and long-term.

.14 Relevant activities include, but are not limited to, land-use controls, site monitoring, site maintenance, and continued cleanup.

Additional References

For guidance on the “legitimate recycling” of hazardous waste see 40 CFR 260.43.
Data Security

Description

Companies in the Aerospace & Defense industry have access to highly classified sensitive military information and play a critical role in the execution and protection of military strategy. As such, companies in this industry are prime targets at a high risk for hackers motivated to learn military secrets. A data security breach can be costly for a company, its clients, and the public when government defense operations are compromised. This issue also applies to aircraft manufacturers as technological advances may make aircraft susceptible to cyberattacks. Ensuring data security may require aerospace and defense companies to invest in R&D and increase CapEx in the short to medium term to improve the security of their facilities and products. Significant disruptions or security breaches are also likely to impair intangible assets through reputational damage, and can lead to a loss in customer confidence. Subsequently, companies could lose market share and revenue if customers switch to more secure solutions.

Accounting Metrics

RT0201-04. Number of data security breaches and percentage involving confidential information

1. The registrant shall calculate and disclose the total number of data security breaches, which are defined as instances of unauthorized acquisition, access, use, or disclosure of protected information.

1.6 The scope of disclosure shall be limited to data security breaches, cybersecurity risks, and incidents that resulted in the registrant’s business processes deviating from its expected outcomes for confidentiality, integrity, and availability.

- The scope of disclosure shall include incidents of unauthorized acquisition or acquisition without valid authorization, resulting from people, process, or technology deficiencies or failures.

- The scope of disclosure shall exclude disruptions of service due to equipment failures.

1.7 The registrant shall disclose the percentage of data security breaches in which confidential information was breached, where confidential information includes, but is not limited to:

- Confidential Business Information (CBI), defined, consistent with 19 CFR 201.6, as information that concerns or relates to trade secrets, processes, operations, identification of customers, inventories, or other information of commercial value, the disclosure of which is likely to have the effect of causing substantial harm to the competitive position of the person, firm, partnership, or corporation from which the information was obtained. Confidential business information includes “proprietary information” within the meaning of section 777(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677f(b)).

- Classified national security information, defined as information that has been determined pursuant to Executive Order 13526 or any predecessor order to require protection against unauthorized disclosure and is marked to indicate its classified status when in documentary form.
Our IT people tell me this would never be reported for a variety of security program management reasons.
• Personally Identifiable Information (PII), defined according to the definition established in Privacy: Alternatives Exist for Enhancing Protection of Personally Identifiable Information (GAO Report 08-536, May 2008) as any information about an individual that is maintained by an entity, including (1) any information that can be used to distinguish or trace an individual’s identity, such as name, Social Security number, date and place of birth, mother’s maiden name, or biometric records; and (2) any other information that is linked or linkable to an individual, such as medical, educational, financial, and employment information.

.18 The scope of disclosure is limited to breaches in which customers were notified of the breach, either as required contractually or by law, or disclosures made voluntarily by the registrant.

.19 Disclosure shall include incidents in which encrypted data were acquired with an encryption key that was also acquired.

.20 The registrant may delay disclosure if a law enforcement agency has determined that notification impedes a criminal investigation until the law enforcement agency determines that such notification does not compromise such investigation.

.21 Disclosure shall be additional but complementary to the U.S. Security and Exchange Commission’s (SEC) CF Disclosure Guidance: Topic No. 2, Cybersecurity.

• At a minimum, this includes instances when the costs or other consequences associated with one or more known incidents—or the risk of potential incidents—represents a material event, trend, or uncertainty that is reasonably likely to have a material effect on the registrant’s results of operations, liquidity, or financial condition, or would cause reported financial information not to be necessarily indicative of future operating results or financial condition (e.g., theft of intellectual property, reduced revenue, increased cybersecurity protection expenditure, litigation costs, etc.).

Note to RT0201-04

.22 The registrant shall describe the corrective actions taken in response to specific incidents, such as changes in operations, management, processes, products, business partners, training, or technology.

.23 All disclosure shall be sufficient such that it is specific to the risks the registrant faces, but disclosure itself will not compromise the registrant’s ability to maintain data privacy and security.

TA07-12-01. Percentage of operations, by revenue, independently certified to a suitable third-party cybersecurity management standard

.24 The percentage shall be calculated as revenue generated from products which are certified to a suitable third-party cybersecurity management standard divided by the total revenue generated from all products that are eligible for such certifications.
25. Suitable third-party cybersecurity management standards shall exhibit the following characteristics:

- Explicit purpose of the standard is to aid companies in identifying cybersecurity threats and preventing, responding to, and remediating cybersecurity incidents;
- Be developed by credible subject matter experts; and
- Be developed with a suitable public exposure process.

26. Third-party cybersecurity management standards include, but are not limited to, the following:

- International Standards Organization / International Electrotechnical Commission 27000-series
- The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants’ (AICPA) Service Organization Controls (SOC) reports
- ISACA’s COBIT 5

27. Operations that are eligible to be certified include, but are not limited to, operations that involve the transfer and/or storage of personal information belonging to customers and/or employees.

28. The registrant shall disclose the standards used when calculating the percentage of the overall amount of operations by revenue certified to third-party cybersecurity management standards as well as the percentage certified to each standard.

29. For company operations that meet multiple cybersecurity management standards, the registrant shall not account for the revenue more than once when calculating the total percentage.

RT0201-05. Discussion of approach to managing data security risks within (a) company operations and (b) products

30. The registrant shall discuss its approach to identifying, addressing, and managing data security risks (a) associated with its own operations and (b) associated with its products.

31. For data security risks that relate to the registrant’s operations, the registrant shall describe how it identifies and prioritizes threats and vulnerabilities in its information systems that pose a data security threat, where

- A threat is defined as any circumstance or event with the potential to adversely impact organizational operations (including mission, functions, image, or reputation), organizational assets, individuals, other organizations, or national security through an information system via unauthorized access, destruction, disclosure, or modification of information and/or denial of service.
- A vulnerability is defined as a weakness in an information system, system security procedures, internal controls, or implementation that could be exploited by a data security threat source.

28 An example of a suitable third-party cybersecurity management system is ISO/IEC 27001 and its related 27XXX family of standards and controls characteristics are based on Paragraph .25 of AT Section 101
The registrant shall describe how it addresses and manages the threats and vulnerabilities it has identified, including, but not limited to, operational procedures, management processes, structure of products, selection of business partners, employee training, and use of technology.

The registrant should discuss trends it has observed in type, frequency, and origination of attacks to its data security and information systems.

Disclosure shall be additional but complementary to the disclosure of preparation, detection, containment, and post-incident activity according to the U.S. Security and Exchange Commission’s (SEC) CF Disclosure Guidance: Topic No. 2, Cybersecurity.

- At a minimum, this includes instances when the costs or other consequences associated with one or more known incidents—or the risk of potential incidents—represents a material event, trend, or uncertainty that is reasonably likely to have a material effect on the registrant’s results of operations, liquidity, or financial condition or would cause reported financial information not to be necessarily indicative of future operating results or financial condition (e.g., reduced revenue, increased cybersecurity protection expenditure, litigation costs, etc.).

All disclosure shall be sufficient such that it is specific to the risks the registrant faces but disclosure itself will not compromise the registrant’s ability to maintain data privacy and security.

The registrant may choose to describe the degree to which its management approach is aligned with an external standard or framework for managing data security, such as:


For data security risks associated with its products, the registrant shall discuss its approach to identifying, eliminating, and managing these risks.

The scope of disclosure shall include a discussion of all stages of the product lifecycle, as relevant, including product design, the manufacturing supply chain, product distribution, the product use phase, and end-of-life management.

The registrant shall discuss how it identifies and mitigates data security risks that may be present within its manufacturing supply chain, where:

- Examples of data security risks in the supply chain may include, but are not limited to, weaknesses in supplier information systems, risk of “backdoors” being inserted into products, or counterfeit products, components, or parts that create a data security risk.
- Examples of mitigation strategies may include, but are not limited to, hardware-based security considerations integrated into the product design and development process, management systems required of suppliers, the use of cybersecurity specialists, “ethical hacking,” and supply chain controls.
The registrant shall discuss how it manages security flaws, bugs, and systems weaknesses that are detected in its products after product distribution and use.

- Disclosure should include a discussion of the effects of such incidents, including costs for remediation and impacts on future business.
- Disclosure should include a discussion of the management process for corrective actions.

Where relevant, the registrant should describe its products and services that specifically enable enhanced data security for customers or features that it integrates into existing products to specifically enhance data security.

- Examples of security-related products and services include hardware-based encryption products, multi-factor authentication devices (such as security tokens or biometric scanners), information assurance systems, secure communications systems, intelligence-driven computer network defense systems, penetration testing, and threat monitoring.
Product Safety

Description

Aerospace and defense products expose users and civilians to dramatic risk through low probability but high impact product accidents. For commercial aircraft manufacturing and aerospace and defense parts companies, passenger and cargo safety is paramount. Through proper design, as well as product safety is an important consideration for Aerospace & Defense companies given the industry’s key role in both commercial aviation and military operations. Product safety incidents are low-probability events that could result in direct or indirect financial impacts, including increased costs or reputational effects. Through product design and ongoing customer engagement involving maintenance and accident investigations, companies in this industry can improve and maintain strong performance on product safety. Companies with poor product quality and safety may experience over the long-term, mitigating potential financial consequences such as revenue loss due to damaged reputation, repeated safety incidents or recalls—or fines.

Accounting Metrics

RT0201-06. Number of recalls and total units recalled

The registrant shall disclose the total number of product-safety-related recalls, including those that are voluntary and involuntary, where:

- A recall is defined, consistent with the definition in the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission’s Recall Handbook, as any repair, replacement, refund, or notice/warning program intended to protect consumers from products that present a safety risk.

- Involuntary recalls are those required by regulatory agencies, and are issued when a product does not comply with regulatory safety standards, or when there is a safety-related defect in a product.

- Voluntary recalls are those initiated by the registrant in order to take products off the market.

The registrant shall disclose the total number of units recalled during the fiscal year.

The scope excludes disclosure of products provided to customers for the explicit purpose of testing, such as those products created for prototype testing related to governmental contracts.

The registrant may choose, in addition to total units recalled, to disclose the percentage of recalls that were (1) voluntarily and (2) involuntarily issued.

Note to RT0201-06

The registrant shall discuss notable recalls, such as those that affected a significant number of products or those related to serious injury or fatality.

For such recalls, the registrant should provide:

- Description and cause of the recall issue
The total number of units recalled

The cost to remedy the issue (in U.S. dollars)

Whether the recall was voluntary or involuntary

Corrective actions

Any other significant outcomes (e.g., legal proceedings, fatalities, etc.)

**RT0201-07. Number of Airworthiness Directives received and total units affected**

**43.48** The registrant shall disclose the number of unique Airworthiness Directives it received from aviation authorities and the total number of units affected, where:

- An Airworthiness Directive is a legally enforceable rule issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the Department of Defense (DoD), or foreign equivalent that applies to aircraft, aircraft engines, propellers, and appliances. The registrant shall disclose the total number of units affected by each Airworthiness Directive, where:

- Total number of units affected is defined as the combined quantity of products and parts that were subject to part of any Airworthiness Directive that the registrant received during the fiscal year.

**43.49** Relevant national and international Airworthiness Directives include, but are not limited to, those found in the following databases:

- FAA-regulated Airworthiness Directives, available [here](#)
- European Aviation Safety Agency-regulated Airworthiness Directives, available [here](#)
- Australian Civil Aviation Safety Authority-regulated Airworthiness Directives, available [here](#)

**Note to RT0201-07**

**44.50** The registrant shall discuss notable Airworthiness Directives, such as those that resulted in an Emergency Airworthiness Directive, affected a significant number of products, or were associated with plane grounding(s) or accident(s).

**45.51** For such Airworthiness Directives, the registrant should provide:

- Description and cause of the issue
- The total number of units affected
- The cost to remedy the issue (in U.S. dollars)
Unless the event had high visibility, like the VW recall, the cost to remedy would not likely be reported and would be proprietary.
Corrective actions

Any other significant outcomes (e.g., legal proceedings, fatalities, etc.)

RT0201-08. Amount of legal and regulatory fines and settlements associated with product safety

The registrant shall disclose the amount (excluding legal fees) of all fines or settlements associated with incidents relating to product safety, including, but not limited to, violations of the Federal Aviation Act, the Consumer Product Safety Act, the U.S. National Electrical Code, and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Safety Standards (such as the requirement for testing and certification by a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory [NRTL] under 29 CFR Part 1910 or by a Qualified Testing Laboratory [QTL] under 29 CFR Part 1926).

Disclosure shall include civil actions (e.g., civil judgment, settlements, or regulatory penalties) and criminal actions (e.g., criminal judgment, penalties, or restitutions) taken by any entity (government, businesses, or individuals).

Note to RT0201-08

The registrant shall briefly describe the nature (e.g., guilty plea, deferred agreement, or non-prosecution agreement) and context (e.g., inadequate testing or certification, etc.) of fines and settlements.

The registrant shall describe any corrective actions it has implemented as a result of each incident. These may include, but are not limited to, specific changes in operations, management, processes, products, business partners, training, or technology.

All disclosure shall be sufficient such that it is specific to the risks the registrant faces, but disclosure itself will not compromise the registrant’s ability to maintain data privacy and security.

Additional References

FAA Airworthiness Directives
Fuel Economy & Emissions in Use-phase

Description

Customer and regulatory concern about climate change and other environmental impacts is increasing the demand for energy-efficient and alternative energy products in the Aerospace & Defense industry. Many of the industry’s products release significant amounts of greenhouse gases (GHGs) and other air emissions during use. As the designers and manufacturers of most of the global aerospace and defense transportation fleet, companies in this industry have a unique opportunity to support many industries and government agencies that are striving to meet increasing GHG and fuel-management goals and imperatives. Products with higher fuel economy and lower use-phase emissions are well-positioned to capture expanding market share and adapt to changing customer preferences and regulations around fuel economy and emissions.

Accounting Metrics

RT0201-09. Revenue from alternative energy-related products

The registrant shall disclose its total revenue from the sale of alternative energy-related products, where:

- Alternative energy-related products include products such as vehicles, vehicle components, and stationary power generation equipment that rely on alternative fuel or energy as a primary means of propulsion and/or energy production.

- Alternative energy and fuel includes:
  - Renewable fuel and energy, which is defined as that from sources that are capable of being replenished in a short time through ecological cycles, such as geothermal, wind, solar, hydroelectric, and biomass (including ethanol, first-generation biofuels, and advanced biofuels).
  - Hydrogen fuel and fuel cells including those that operate using natural gas, propane, and methanol.
  - Electric, hybrid electric, and dual-fueled products for which one of the fuel sources is an alternative fuel shall be considered within the scope of disclosure.

RT0201-10. Discussion of strategies and approach to address fuel economy and greenhouse gas emissions of products

The registrant shall discuss its strategies and approach to improving the fuel economy and reducing the use-phase greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of its products.

Relevant aspects of the approach and strategy to discuss include improvements to existing products and technologies, the introduction of new technologies, research and development efforts into advanced technologies, and partnerships with peers, academic institutions, and/or customers (including governmental customers).
This appears to be borrowed from the motor vehicle world. With the exception of a very small number of companies working on short-haul, battery powered aircraft, only biofuels are under serious consideration. Since biofuels are the key technology that ICAO and other aviation industry groups are betting on to reduce aviation emissions, I would re-structure this section and ask for 1) the reporter’s involvement with aviation bio-fuels as used in their own products, and 2) reporter’s involvement in promoting the use of aviation biofuels across the aviation industry.
Relevant technologies to discuss include, but are not limited to, those related to materials design and engineering, advanced powertrains, renewable fuels, energy storage and batteries, aerodynamic design, and products and fuels that otherwise result in reduced GHG emissions, where:

- Advanced powertrain technologies include vehicles and vehicle components that are electric, hybrid electric, plug-in hybrid, dual-fuel, and zero-emissions (e.g., fuel cell).

- Renewable fuels and energy technologies are those that operate on sources that are capable of being replenished in a short time through ecological cycles, including geothermal, wind, solar, hydroelectric, and biomass (including ethanol, first-generation biofuels, and advanced biofuels).

- Products that result in reduced GHG emissions include any vehicle or technology that achieves a significant reduction in petroleum consumption as well as advanced lean burn technology vehicles and technologies, as described in the U.S. National Defense Authorization Act of 2008.

- Fuels that result in reduced GHG emissions further include denatured alcohol, methanol, mixtures containing up to 85 percent methanol or denatured ethanol, natural gas, and propane (liquefied petroleum gas), as described in the U.S. Energy Policy Act (EPAct) of 2005.

- Where relevant, the registrant shall discuss the technologies it is prioritizing to improve the fuel economy and reduce the GHG emissions of its products, such as the specific type of fuel systems it is developing (e.g., hybrid, electric, or fuel cell).

The registrant shall discuss the factors influencing these efforts, such as meeting civil customer demand, alignment with industry initiatives, and/or meeting requirements of federal procurement programs and initiatives, where:

- Relevant programs and initiatives to discuss include, but are not limited to, Executive Order 13514, International Civil Aviation Organization Resolution A38-18, and the Marine Corps Medium Tactical Vehicle Replacement initiative.

The registrant should discuss the benchmarks it uses to measure improvements in product fuel efficiency for relevant vehicles and/or vehicle system segments, including a discussion of targets for fuel efficiency improvements.

The registrant should provide measurements of fuel efficiency and fuel efficiency improvements for its relevant vehicle and/or vehicle systems segments.

- Measurements of fuel efficiency and fuel efficiency improvements may include:
  - Inherent fuel efficiency measurements, such as miles per gallon for vehicles and vessels and 1/Specific Air Range for aerospace vehicles; or
  - Year-over-year fuel efficiency improvements.

The registrant may discuss how customer demand and requirements affect fuel efficiency measures and improvements, where relevant.
Business Ethics

Description

Aerospace and defense companies are particularly vulnerable to regulatory scrutiny of business ethics and export practices because of their frequent interactions with U.S. and foreign governments as well as global corporate customers. The contract bidding process for aerospace and defense products and services provides opportunities for cooperative behavior to assist in obtaining or retaining business; however, these same practices can also result in corruption and bribery. Companies in this industry have often been found in violation of corruption and anti-bribery laws such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) and the U.K. Bribery Act. They are under increasing pressure to ensure that their governance structures and practices can prevent corruption and participation—whether willful or unintentional—in illegal or unethical payments to government officials, or exertion of unfair influence through gifts or other means. Operating in corruption-prone countries can exacerbate these risks. Unethical practices may jeopardize future revenue growth due to reputational risks and can result in significant legal costs and liabilities.

Accounting Metrics

RT0201-11. Amount of legal and regulatory fines and settlements associated with incidents of corruption, bribery, and/or illicit international trade

The registrant shall disclose the amount (excluding legal fees) of all fines or settlements associated with incidents of corruption, bribery, and/or illicit international trade, including, but not limited to, violations of the following:

- Arms Export Control Act (AECA)
- Export Administration Regulations (EAR), 15 C.F.R. Parts 730–774
- Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)
- International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR), 22 C.F.R. Parts 120–130
- U.S. Munitions List (USML) (ITAR Part 121)

Disclosure shall include civil actions (e.g., civil judgment, settlements, or regulatory penalties) and criminal actions (e.g., criminal judgment, penalties, or restitutions) taken by any entity (government, businesses, or individuals).

Note to RT0201-11

The registrant shall briefly describe the nature (e.g., guilty plea, deferred agreement, or non-prosecution agreement) and context (e.g., bribing an official, etc.) of fines and settlements.
The registrant shall describe any corrective actions it has implemented as a result of each incident. This may include, but is not limited to, specific changes in operations, management, processes, products, business partners, training, or technology.

All disclosure shall be sufficient such that it is specific to the risks the registrant faces, but disclosure itself will not compromise the registrant’s ability to maintain data privacy and security.

RT0201-12. Revenue from countries ranked in the “E” or “F” Band of Transparency International’s Government Defence Anti-Corruption Index

The registrant shall disclose the amount of revenue from countries scored in the “E” or “F” band of Transparency International’s Government Defence Anti-Corruption Index.

- Transparency International’s Government Defence Anti-Corruption Index defines bands “E” and “F” respectively, as having “Very High” and “Critical” levels of risk of corruption.

The registrant shall calculate its disclosure according to the scoring in the most current available version of the Government Defence Anti-Corruption Index at the close of its fiscal year via Transparency International’s publicly accessible website.

The registrant may choose to provide discussion around operations that are located in countries with “E” or “F” scores in the index but that present low business ethics risks. The registrant may choose to provide similar discussion for operations located in countries that are not scored in the “E” or “F” Band of the index but that present unique or high business ethics risks.

RT0201-13. Description of processes to manage business ethics risks throughout the value chain

The registrant shall discuss its processes and due diligence procedures for assessing and managing risks relating to business ethics that it faces within the scope of its own operations as well as those associated with business partners in its value chain.

Relevant processes to discuss include, but are not limited to, employee awareness programs, internal mechanisms for reporting and following up on suspected violations, anti-corruption policies, and participation in the International Forum on Business Ethical Conduct (IFBEC).

Relevant business ethics risks include bribery, as regulated by the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR); corruption, as regulated by the FCPA; and illicit arms trade, as regulated by the ITAR, the Export Administration Regulations (EAR), and Defense Offset Disclosures Act.

Relevant business partners include customers, suppliers, contractors, subcontractors, and joint-venture partners.

The registrant shall discuss areas of its operations that are at the highest risk for corruption and bribery occurrences, such as those operations in countries with low rankings in Transparency International’s Government Defence Anti-Corruption Index.

The registrant may choose to discuss the implementation of one or more of the following:
• Defense Industry Initiative on Business Ethics and Conduct (DII) principles
• Key Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) guidelines
• International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) Rules of Conduct against Extortion and Bribery
• Transparency International Business Principles for Countering Bribery
• United Nations Global Compact 10th Principle
• World Economic Forum (WEF) Partnering Against Corruption Initiative (PACI)
• TRACE International TRACE Standard
Supply Chain Management & Materials Sourcing

Description

Aerospace and defense companies are exposed to supply chain risks when rare earths or “conflict” minerals and metals are used in counterfeit products. Counterfeit components can lead to product malfunctions and compromised safety and security. Proactive supply chain audits and management will help insulate companies from reputational and regulatory risk. Sourcing risks associated with rare metals and conflict minerals are due to a low substitution ratio, concentration of deposits in only a few countries, and geopolitical considerations. Companies in this industry also face competition due to increasing global demand for these minerals from other sectors, which can result in significant price increases and/or supply risks. There is also a risk that counterfeit or compromised products enter the supply chain of aerospace and defense companies, affecting product performance and safety. Companies that are able to limit the use with robust supply chain management processes can ensure adequate supplies of critical and conflict key materials, as well as securing their supply, will not only minimize environmental and social externalities related to extraction, but also protect themselves from supply disruptions and volatile input prices, and reduce the likelihood of acquiring counterfeit products, mitigating the risk of financial or reputational effects.

Accounting Metrics

RT0201-14. Number of counterfeit parts detected, percentage avoided

The registrant shall disclose the total number of counterfeit parts or suspected counterfeit parts that were detected in its operations, where:

- Counterfeit parts and suspected counterfeit parts are defined according to definitions contained in 48 CFR Part 252.246–7007, Contractor Counterfeit Electronic Part Detection and Avoidance System.

- The number of counterfeit parts detected includes those that the registrant, its business partners, or its customers become aware of or any electronic part or end item, component, part, or assembly that gives the registrant, its business partners, or its customers any reason to suspect that it contains counterfeit electronic parts.

- The scope of disclosure includes those parts detected before procurement, and therefore avoided; those detected during manufacturing, assembly, and testing; and those detected after sale to the registrant’s customer(s).

The percentage avoided is calculated as the number of counterfeit or suspect counterfeit parts that were detected prior to the sale and delivery of the part to a customer divided by the total number of counterfeit or suspect counterfeit parts that were detected, where:

- Detection prior to sale and delivery includes any counterfeit or suspect counterfeit part that was detected during procurement, manufacturing, assembly, or testing. This includes counterfeit or suspect counterfeit parts that were not purchased by the registrant but could have been purchased, except for the fact that they were detected.
The registrant should discuss at which point it detected the counterfeit parts (e.g., whether the parts were detected by the registrant’s business partners, the registrant’s testing systems prior to production or after production, or if the registrant was notified by its customers, etc.).

The registrant should discuss its compliance with the provisions of Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Detection and Avoidance of Counterfeit Electronic Parts (DFARS Case 2012-D055) and/or SAE International Standard, SAE AS 5553 – Fraudulent/Counterfeit Electronic Parts; Avoidance, Detection, Mitigation, and Disposition.

RT0201-15. Percentage of materials costs for items containing critical materials

The registrant shall calculate the percentage as the cost of raw materials costs of goods sold, in U.S. dollars, of items that contain critical materials divided by the total cost of raw materials cost of goods sold.

- The scope of disclosure includes materials costs for parts, components, commodities, and associated freight, and storage, and excludes those for overhead, labor, recalls, warrantees, or other costs of goods sold.

A critical material is defined, consistent with the National Research Council’s “Minerals, Critical Minerals, and the U.S. Economy,” as one material that is both essential in use and subject to the risk of supply restriction.

At a minimum, the scope of critical materials includes but are not limited to the following minerals and metals:

- Antimony, cobalt, fluor spar, gallium, germanium, graphite, indium, magnesium, niobium, tantalum, and tungsten;
- Platinum group metals (platinum, palladium, iridium, rhodium, ruthenium, and osmium); and
- Rare earth elements, which include yttrium, scandium, lanthanum, and defined by the National Research Council, as well as lanthanides (cerium, praseodymium, neodymium, promethium, samarium, europium, gadolinium, terbium, dysprosium, holmium, erbia, thulium, ytterbium, and lutetium).

In addition to the above, defense-related critical materials may include materials specifically identified by the Department of Defense (DoD), through the Strategic and Critical Materials 2016 Report on Stockpile Requirements, for potential shortfall in defense-related applications: tin, aluminum oxide-fused crude, silicon carbide, bismuth, manganese metal electrolytic, beryllium metal, and chromium metal as well as DoD proprietary materials (e.g., specific types of carbon fiber and a specialty rare earth oxide).

RT0201-16. Percentage of tungsten, tin, tantalum, and gold smelters within the supply chain that are verified conflict-free

The registrant shall calculate the percentage as the number of tungsten, tin, tantalum, and gold smelters and/or refineries within its supply chain that are verified to be conflict-free divided by the total number of tungsten, tin, tantalum, and gold smelters and/or refineries within its supply chain.
This is problematic for several reasons. At the enterprise level, the cost of critical materials as a % of COGS will be so small as to be meaningless for all but the tiniest specialty component manufacturer. I would delete RT0201-15. If SASB is looking to identify the risk to the reporter due to supply of critical materials, in TA07-14-01 you should instead ask the supplier to identify if it uses any of the following list of materials, and if so, how it manages critical material supply risk. You should also ask the supplier how it manages REACh and other regulatory limits to the use of critical materials, since they historically pose a greater threat to supply than material shortages.
A smelter or refiner is considered to be conflict-free if it can demonstrate compliance with:

- The Electronic Industry Citizenship Coalition (EICC) and Global e-Sustainability Initiatives (GeSI) Conflict-Free Smelter Program (CFSP) assessment protocols.
- The Responsible Jewellery Council’s (RJC) Chain of Custody (CoC) Standard.

A smelter or refinery is considered to be within the registrant’s supply chain if it supplies, or is approved to supply, tungsten, tin, tantalum, or gold that is contained in any product the registrant manufactures or contracts to be manufactured.

The scope includes smelters or refineries that supply material directly to the registrant, as well as those that supply material to any of its suppliers of raw materials, components, or subassemblies.

**RT0201-17TA07-14-01. Discussion of the management of risks associated with the use of critical materials and conflict minerals**

The registrant shall discuss its strategic approach to managing its risks associated with the use of critical materials and conflict minerals in its products, including physical limits on availability, and access, price, and reputational risks, where:

- A critical material is defined, consistent with the National Research Council’s “Minerals, Critical Minerals, and the U.S. Economy,” as one material that is both essential in use and subject to the risk of supply restriction. At a minimum, the scope of critical materials includes, but are not limited to, the following minerals and metals defined by the National Research Council, as well as materials specifically identified by the Department of Defense (DoD), through the Strategic and Critical Materials 2013 Report on Stockpile Requirements, for potential shortfall in defense-related applications:
  - Antimony, cobalt, fluorspar, gallium, germanium, graphite, indium, magnesium, niobium, tantalum, and tungsten;
  - Platinum group metals (platinum, palladium, iridium, rhodium, ruthenium, and osmium); and
  - Rare earth elements, which include yttrium, scandium, lanthanum, and the lanthanides (cerium, praseodymium, neodymium, promethium, samarium, europium, gadolinium, terbium, dysprosium, holmium, erbium, thulium, ytterbium, and lutetium).
  - In addition to the above, defense-related critical materials include: tin, aluminum oxide-fused crude, silicon carbide, bismuth, manganese metal electrolytic, beryllium metal, and chromium metal as well as DoD proprietary materials (e.g., specific types of carbon fiber and a specialty rare earth oxide).

- Conflict minerals are defined as tungsten, tin, tantalum, and gold.

The registrant should identify which materials and minerals present a risk to its operations, the type of risk they represent, and the strategies the registrant uses to mitigate the risk.
For critical materials, relevant strategies to discuss may include diversification of suppliers, stockpiling of materials, expenditures in R&D for development or procurement of alternative and substitute materials, and investments in recycling technology for critical materials.

For conflict minerals, relevant strategies to discuss include due diligence practices, supply chain auditing, supply chain engagement, and partnerships with industry groups or nongovernmental development organizations.